

WS 09/10

Multivariate Analysis Übung 3

K. Utikal

1. für das regressions modell

$$y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$$
$$\mu_i = \mu(\mathbf{x}) = \beta_0 + \sum_j^p \beta_j x_{ij}$$

teste mit LR-methode die hypo $H_0 : \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_p = 0$

Lösung:

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{y}, X) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2})^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{y} - X\beta)'(\mathbf{y} - X\beta)}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

log likelihood

$$l(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mathbf{y} - X\beta)'(\mathbf{y} - X\beta)}{\sigma^2}$$

\Rightarrow mle:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1} X'\mathbf{y}$$
$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\beta})'(\mathbf{y} - X\hat{\beta})$$

\Rightarrow max.log.likelihood

$$l(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log \hat{\sigma}^2 - \frac{n}{2}$$

null hyp

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = \dots = \beta_p = 0$$

mle

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y}, \hat{\sigma}_0^2 = \hat{s}^2$$

max.log.likelihood

$$l(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log \hat{\sigma}_0^2 - \frac{n}{2}$$

LR-test

$$-2 \log \text{LR} = \log(\hat{\sigma}_0^2 / \hat{\sigma}^2) \sim \chi^2(p)$$

alternatively:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_i^{n_j} (y_{ij} - \mu - \alpha_j) = 0 \\ \alpha_1 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \implies \sum_i^{n_1} (y_{i1} - \mu) = 0 \implies \hat{\mu} = \bar{y}_{.1}$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_i^{n_j} (y_{ij} - \mu - \alpha_j) = 0, \quad j > 1 \\ \hat{\mu} = \bar{y}_{.1} \end{array} \right\} \implies \hat{\alpha}_j = \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_i^{n_j} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{.1}) = \bar{y}_{.j} - \bar{y}_{.1}$$

3. give an example of a 2x2x2 table for three binary variables X, Y, Z with conditional independence of the X, Y on Z but not joint independence of X, Y from Z and vice versa

solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mu_{ijk} &= \mu_0 + \sum_{i>1}^I \alpha_i x_{1i} + \sum_{j>1}^J \beta_j x_{2j} + \sum_{k>1}^K \gamma_k x_{3k} \\ &+ \sum_{i>1, j>1}^{I, J} (\alpha\beta)_{ij} x_{1i} x_{2j} + \sum_{i>1, k>1}^{I, K} (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} x_{1i} x_{3k} + \sum_{j>1, k>1}^{J, K} (\beta\gamma)_{jk} x_{2j} x_{3k} \\ &+ \sum_{i>1, j>1, k>1}^{I, J, K} (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{ijk} x_{1i} x_{2j} x_{3k} \end{aligned}$$

in anov-notation

$$\log \mu_{ijk} = \mu_0 + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{jk} + (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{ijk}$$

cases

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{condl indep} & \alpha \perp \beta \mid \gamma : \quad \log \mu_{ijk} = \mu_0 + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{jk} \\ \text{joint indep} & \alpha\beta \perp \gamma : \quad \log \mu_{ijk} = \mu_0 + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \gamma_k \end{array}$$

important note: *the model for joint indep is a special case of the model of condl independence in the case*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{condl indep} & \alpha \perp \gamma \mid \beta : \quad \log \mu_{ijk} = \mu_0 + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + (\beta\gamma)_{jk} \\ \text{joint indep} & \alpha\beta \perp \gamma : \quad \log \mu_{ijk} = \mu_0 + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \gamma_k \end{array}$$

implies: $\alpha \perp \gamma \mid \beta$ and $\beta \perp \gamma \Leftrightarrow \alpha\beta \perp \gamma$
but $\alpha\beta \perp \gamma \not\Leftrightarrow \alpha \perp \beta \mid \gamma$

examples: $((\text{death} \perp \text{gender}) \mid \text{smoking}), (\text{smoking} \perp \text{gender}) \Leftrightarrow (\text{death, smoking}) \perp \text{gender}$
but $(\text{death, smoking}) \perp \text{gender} \not\Leftrightarrow (\text{death} \perp \text{gender}) \mid \text{smoking}$

4. finden sie die den ML-schätzer definierenden gleichungen für die binäre logistische regression

$$P(Y = 1 \mid x) = 1 - P(Y = 0 \mid x) = \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta x)}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x)}$$

und konstruieren sie das newton verfahren

solution:

$$P(y|x) = \binom{n}{y} p^y(x) (1-p(x))^{n-y}$$
$$p(x) = \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta x)}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x)}$$

likelihood (one obs)

$$L(\alpha, \beta | x) = p(x)^y (1-p(x))^{1-y} = \left(\frac{p(x)}{1-p(x)} \right)^y (1-p(x))$$
$$= (\exp(\alpha + \beta x))^y \left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x)} \right)$$

log.likelihood

$$l(\alpha, \beta | x) = y(\alpha + \beta x) - \log(1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x))$$

likelihood eqns

$$\frac{\partial l(\alpha, \beta | x)}{\partial \beta} = yx - \frac{x \exp(\alpha + \beta x)}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x)} = x(y - p(x))$$
$$\frac{\partial l(\alpha, \beta | x)}{\partial \alpha} = y - \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta x)}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x)} = (y - p(x))$$

information:

$$\frac{\partial^2 l(\alpha, \beta | x)}{\partial \beta^2} = - \frac{x^2 \exp(\alpha + \beta x) (1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x)) - (x \exp(\alpha + \beta x))^2}{(1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x))^2}$$
$$= - \frac{x^2 \exp(\alpha + \beta x)}{(1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x))^2} = -x^2 p(x)$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 l(\alpha, \beta | x)}{\partial \alpha^2} = - \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta x)}{(1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x))^2} = -p(x)$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 l(\alpha, \beta | x)}{\partial \alpha \partial \beta} = - \frac{x \exp(\alpha + \beta x)}{(1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x))^2} = -xp(x)$$

note: information independent of y hence expected and observed information equal

Newton method: solution of

$$f(x) = 0$$

as limit of the sequence

$$x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} - \frac{f(x^{(n)})}{f'(x^{(n)})}$$

solve likelihood eqn

$$f(\theta) = \frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta}$$

as limit of sequence

$$\theta^{(n+1)} = \theta^{(n)} - \frac{\partial l(\theta^{(n)})}{\partial \theta} \bigg/ \frac{\partial^2 l(\theta^{(n)})}{\partial \theta^2}$$

relation to Fisher information

$$\mathbb{I}(\theta) = -\mathbb{E} \frac{\partial^2 l(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}$$

Newton method:

$$\theta^{(n+1)} = \theta^{(n)} + \frac{\partial l(\theta^{(n)})}{\partial \theta} \bigg/ \widehat{\mathbb{I}}(\theta^{(n)})$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathbb{I}}(\theta) = -\frac{\partial^2 l(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}$$

is the observed information matrix

using expected information matrix \implies Fisher scoring

$$\theta^{(n+1)} = \theta^{(n)} + \frac{\partial l(\theta^{(n)})}{\partial \theta} \bigg/ \mathbb{I}(\theta^{(n)})$$

sometimes better convergence

matrix form

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{(n+1)} &= \theta^{(n)} - \left[\frac{\partial^2 l(\theta^{(n)})}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \right]^{-1} \nabla l(\theta^{(n)}) \\ &= \theta^{(n)} + \widehat{\mathbb{I}}^{-1}(\theta^{(n)}) \nabla l(\theta^{(n)}) \\ \widehat{\mathbb{I}}(\theta) &= - \left[\frac{\partial^2 l(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for binary logit

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \right] &= \begin{bmatrix} x(y - p(x)) \\ (y - p(x)) \end{bmatrix} \\ - \left[\frac{\partial^2 l(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \right] &= \begin{bmatrix} x^2 p(x) & xp(x) \\ xp(x) & p(x) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(in this case: fisher information=observed information) hence

$$\begin{bmatrix} \beta^{(n+1)} \\ \alpha^{(n+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta^{(n)} \\ \alpha^{(n)} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sum_i x_i^2 p(x_i | \alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)}) & \sum_i x_i p(x_i | \alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)}) \\ \sum_i x_i p(x_i | \alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)}) & \sum_i p(x_i | \alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)}) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_i (x_i y_i - p(x_i | \alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)})) \\ \sum_i (x_i - p(x_i | \alpha^{(n)}, \beta^{(n)})) \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$p(x | \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\exp(\alpha + \beta x)}{1 + \exp(\alpha + \beta x)}$$

5. finden sie die likelihood eqns für das multinomiale regressionsmodell

multinomial:

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_{1t}, \dots, y_{It}) &= \binom{n}{y_{1t} \dots y_{It}} p_1^{y_{1t}}(x_t) \dots p_1^{y_{It}}(x_t) \\ p_i(x) &= \frac{\exp(\alpha_i + \beta_i x)}{\sum \exp(\alpha_i + \beta_i x)} \\ \alpha_1 &= \beta_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

solution:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} l(\mathbf{p} | \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_i^I y_i \log p_i \\ \log p_i &= \alpha_i + \beta_i x - \log \left(1 + \sum_{i>1} \exp(\alpha_i + \beta_i x) \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

(for $i > 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} l(\alpha, \beta | \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_t^T \sum_i^I y_{it} \log \frac{\exp(\alpha_i + \beta_i x_t)}{1 + \sum_{j>1} \exp(\alpha_j + \beta_j x_t)} = \\ &= \sum_t^T \sum_i^I \left(y_{it} (\alpha_i + \beta_i x_t) - y_{it} \log \left(1 + \sum_{j>1} \exp(\alpha_j + \beta_j x_t) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

scores

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{i_0}} l_{Mnom}(\alpha, \beta | \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_t^T \left(y_{i_0 t} x_t - \sum_i^I y_{it} x_t \frac{\exp(\alpha_{i_0} + \beta_{i_0} x_t)}{1 + \sum_{j>1} \exp(\alpha_j + \beta_j x_t)} \right) \\ &= \sum_t^T x_t \left(y_{i_0 t} - p_{i_0}(x_t) \sum_i^I y_{it} \right) = \sum_t^T x_t (y_{i_0 t} - n p_{i_0}(x_t)) \end{aligned}$$

conjecture: similarly (see binary regression)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{i_0}} l_{Mnom}(\alpha, \beta | \mathbf{y}) = \sum_t^T (y_{i_0 t} - np_{i_0}(x_t))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \beta_{i_0}^2} l_{Mnom}(\alpha, \beta | \mathbf{y}) &= - \sum_t^T x_t^2 np_{i_0}(x_t) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_{i_0} \partial \beta_{i_0}} l_{Mnom}(\alpha, \beta | \mathbf{y}) &= - \sum_t^T x_t np_{i_0}(x_t) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha_{i_0}^2} l_{Mnom}(\alpha, \beta | \mathbf{y}) &= - \sum_t^T np_{i_0}(x_t) \end{aligned}$$

6. condl independence and joint independence: show

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X, Z \text{ indep condl on } Y \\ Y, Z \text{ marginal indep} \end{array} \right\} \implies X, Y \text{ joint indep from } Z$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X, Y \text{ joint indep from } Z \\ Y, Z \text{ marginal indep} \end{array} \right\} \implies X, Z \text{ indep condl on } Y$$

Proof: \implies

$$P(x/z, y) = P(x/y)$$

$$P(x, y, z)/P(y, z) = P(x, y)/P(y)$$

$$P(x, y, z)P(y) = P(x, y)P(y, z)$$

$$P(x, y, z)P(y) = P(x, y)P(y/z)P(z) = P(x, y)P(y)P(z) \text{ (hypothesis)}$$

$$P(x, y, z)/P(z) = P(x, y)$$

\Leftarrow same argument

7. show by example, that without this condition (Y, Z marginal indep) both concepts in 5. are unrelated

solution X : babies, Y : families, Z : nests

$$P(x/z, y) = P(x/y) \text{ but } P(x, y/z = \text{hi}) \neq P(x, y/z = \text{lo})$$

also: $P(x, y/z) = P(x, y)$ does not imply condl independence

$P(x, y) = P(x, y/z) \implies P(x) = P(x/z), P(y) = P(y/z) \implies$ joint indep from Z would imply marginal independence.